	Case 2:06-cv-01653-JLR	Document 34	Filed 05/03/07	Page 1 of 2	
01					
02					
03					
04					
05					
06	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
07	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE				
08	RONALD BUZZARD, JR.,		SE NO. C06-1653	-II R-MAT	
09	Petitioner,)	3E 1(0. C00 1033	JER WILL	
10))) OPI	DED DE MOTIO	N EOD STAV OE	
	V.	,	ORDER RE: MOTION FOR STAY OFPROCEEDINGS		
11	DARREN SWENSON,)			
12	Respondent.))			
13					
14	By Order dated February 5, 2007, the Court requested that petitioner submit a concise				
15	statement of facts and law supporting his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas corpus petition. (Dkt. 14.)				
16	Petitioner submitted a number of motions in response, including, <i>inter alia</i> , requests for discovery				
17	and for an extension of time to submit the statement of facts and law. (See Dkts. 16-20.) By				
18	Order dated March 6, 2007, the Court denied petitioner's request for discovery, but granted him				
19	an additional thirty days to submit the previously requested statement. (Dkt. 21.)				
20	Petitioner filed a motion seeking reconsideration of the Order denying his request for				
21	discovery, which United States District Judge James L. Robart denied. (Dkts. 23 & 25.) He				
22	thereafter sought permission to file an interlocutory appeal and concurrently sought a stay of these				
	ORDER RE: MOTION FOR STAY OF PROCEEDINGS PAGE -1				

proceedings pending resolution of his appeal. (Dkts. 28 & 29.)

Judge Robart recently denied petitioner's motion for permission to file an interlocutory appeal. (Dkt. 33.) Accordingly, petitioner's associated motion for a stay (Dkt. 28) is hereby STRICKEN as moot. However, because petitioner has yet to submit a statement of facts and law supporting his § 2254 petition, the Court will allow him one final opportunity to submit the 06 necessary information. Petitioner shall submit a concise statement of facts and law supporting his § 2254 petition within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. The Court notes that this is the third request made for this statement (see Dkts. 14 & 21) and that no further extensions will be granted. Plaintiff's failure to comply with this Order may result in dismissal of this action.

The Clerk shall direct copies of this Order to petitioner, to counsel for respondent, and to the Honorable James L. Robart.

DATED this 3rd day of May, 2007.

United States Magistrate Judge

22

21

01

02

03

05

09

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

ORDER RE: MOTION FOR STAY OF PROCEEDINGS PAGE -2